Í	Case 2:10-cv-00524-RSL Document 41	Filed 12/03/10	Page 1 of 2
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	UNITED STATES WESTERN DISTRIC AT SE	Γ OF WASHING	
9 10 11 12 13 14	WF CAPITAL, INC., Plaintiff, v. WILLIAM J. BARKETT and LISA BARKETT, Defendants.	MOTION FOR CERTIFYING REGISTRATI	NTING PLAINTIFF'S R AN ORDER G JUDGMENT FOR ION IN THE SOUTHERN, ND EASTERN DISTRICTS
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	This matter comes before the Court on plaintiff's Motion for an Order Certifying Judgment for Registration in the Southern, Central and Eastern Districts of California. Dkt. #38. Plaintiff WF Capital, Inc. seeks an order certifying the judgment for registration in California because judgment debtors William and Lisa Barkett have substantial assets in California, and do not have substantial property or assets in the Western District of Washington. Id. at 1. Defendants William J. Barkett and Lisa Barkett have not filed an opposition to plaintiff's motion. Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 7(b), the Court considers defendants' failure to file an opposition to plaintiff's motion an admission that the motion has merit.		

26 ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR ORDER CERTIFYING JUDGMENT FOR REGISTRATION IN CALIFORNIA - 1

Having reviewed the memoranda, declaration, and exhibits submitted by plaintiff, the Court finds as follows:

- 1. While defendants have filed a notice of appeal, they have not posted a supersedeas bond to stay enforcement of the judgment. Accordingly, plaintiff may commence efforts to enforce its judgment. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 62(a); Columbia Pictures Indus. v. Krypton Broad. of Birmingham, Inc., 259 F.3d 1186, 1197 (9th Cir. 2001) ("Under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 62(a), a judgment of a United States District Court becomes final and enforceable [14] days after judgment is entered.").
- 2. Defendants do not dispute that they do not own property in Washington or do any business in Washington State. Dkt. #16 \P 2, 5; #39 \P 2.
- 3. Defendants do not dispute that they have substantial assets in Southern, Central and Eastern Districts of California. Dkt. #16 ¶4, #39, Exs. 1&2.
- 4. Good cause exists under 28 U.S.C. § 1963 to certify the judgment for registration in California. Columbia Pictures, 259 F.3d at 1198 (good cause shown where judgment debtor did not dispute that he lacked assets in California or that he owned substantial property in Florida).

For all the foregoing reasons, plaintiff's Motion for an Order Certifying Judgment for Registration in the Southern, Central and Eastern Districts of California is GRANTED.

DATED this 3rd day of December, 2010.

Robert S. Lasnik

MWS Casnik

United States District Judge

ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR ORDER CERTIFYING JUDGMENT FOR REGISTRATION IN CALIFORNIA - 2